

**Roadway  
functional  
classification  
guidebook**



# Table of contents

<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Functional classification definitions and characteristics</b> .....	<b>2</b>
Interstate .....	2
Freeways and expressways .....	2
Other principal arterials (OPA) .....	2
Minor arterials.....	3
Major and minor collectors.....	3
Local roads or streets .....	4
<b>Related characteristics</b> .....	<b>5</b>
Route spacing .....	5
Route usage (annual average daily traffic (AADT) volumes).....	6
System continuity.....	6
<b>Process to revise a roadway functional classification</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Appendix A</b> .....	<b>8</b>
Functional Classification Revision Request Template.....	8
<b>Appendix B</b> .....	<b>12</b>
Using IDOT web based maps for needed information.....	12
<i>Determining current functional classification of roads in the request</i> .....	12
<i>Determining the route number for the road in question</i> .....	14
<i>Making a color map for the request</i> .....	14
<b>Appendix C</b> .....	<b>16</b>
Functional Classification Revision Request Submittal Checklist .....	16
<b>Appendix D</b> .....	<b>17</b>
Frequently asked questions (FAQs).....	17
<b>Appendix E</b> .....	<b>19</b>
Top 5 Reasons for Denial or Delay of Revision Requests.....	19

# Introduction


The main purpose of the functional classification of roadways is to provide a framework for identifying the particular role of a roadway in moving vehicles through a network of highways. Roadways are assigned to one of several possible functional classifications within a hierarchy according to the character of travel service provided and the roadway’s design, speed, capacity and relationship to adjacent land use and development. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021, also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, uses functional classification in determining eligibility for federal funding. Enhancing federal funding eligibility cannot be used as a justification for revising functional classification, however. Revisions must be warranted based on changes in the functional characteristics of the roadway.

Roadways serve two primary travel needs: access and mobility between locations. While these two functions lie at opposite ends of the continuum of roadway function, most roads provide some combination of each.

- Roadway mobility function: Provides few opportunities for entry and exit and therefore low travel friction from vehicle access.
- Roadway accessibility function: Provides many opportunities for entry and exit, which creates potentially higher friction from vehicle access.

While most roadways offer both access to property and travel mobility services, it is the roadway’s primary purpose that defines the classification category to which a given roadway belongs.

**Figure 1. Access-mobility continuum**



Source: Federal Highway Administration

As time passes and development patterns change, functions of some roadways also change. Roadways that once functioned as local roads may take on the characteristics of collectors. Similarly, if a roadway was once a collector and the attributes of the area have changed, it may begin to act as a minor arterial. Both of these situations would suggest the need for a change in classification. Additional reasons for re-classification include new traffic generators, improvements to the roadway, significant growth or decline in population, and/or traffic volumes. After every decennial census, the entire functional class system should be reviewed. This is an excellent time to review the whole system as well as submit revisions as much analysis is occurring at that time.

# Functional classification definitions and characteristics

The functional classification is a hierarchical system developed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and implemented by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) in Illinois. The following definitions were developed based on IDOT and FHWA guidance.

## Interstate

Interstate roads form an interconnected network of fully access controlled, divided highways constructed with mobility and long-distance travel in mind.

## Freeways and expressways

Similar to interstates, these roadways are designed and constructed to maximize their mobility function and abutting land uses are not directly served. They can be fully or partially access controlled, have high traffic volumes, and usually serve longer regional and intra-urban trips.

## Other principal arterials (OPA)

These roadways serve major development centers and provide a high degree of mobility, however, abutting land uses can be served directly. OPAs generally provide similar service in both urban and rural areas. Characteristics of urban and rural principal arterials are provided in the table below.

**Table 1. Characteristics of urban and rural principal arterials**

Urban	Rural
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Serve major activity centers, highest traffic volume corridors and longest trip demands</li><li>• Carry high proportion of total urban travel on minimal mileage</li><li>• Interconnect and provide continuity for major rural corridors to accommodate trips entering and leaving urban area and movements through the urban area</li><li>• Serve demand for intra-area travel between a commercial district or industrial center and outlying residential areas</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Serve corridor movements having trip length and travel density characteristics indicative of substantial statewide or interstate travel</li><li>• Connect all or nearly all urbanized areas and a large majority of urban clusters with 25,000 and over population</li><li>• Provide an integrated network of continuous routes without stub connections (dead ends)</li></ul>

Source: FHWA

The spacing of arterials is closely related to the adjacent development density. The spacing of these facilities in larger urban areas may vary from less than 1 mile in highly developed central business areas to 5 miles or more in the sparsely developed rural areas.

## Minor arterials

Minor arterials provide service for trips of moderate length, serve smaller geographic areas than their principal arterial counterparts and offer connectivity to the higher arterial/expressway system.

- In an urban context, they interconnect and augment the principal arterial and expressway system, provide intra-community continuity, and should not penetrate residential neighborhoods.
- In rural settings, they provide inter-regional or inter-county service.

Minor arterials should be spaced at smaller intervals than principal arterials, commensurate with the adjoining population density and so that all developed areas are connected to a higher level arterial. The spacing of minor arterial streets typically varies from 1/8 to 1/2 mile in central business districts and 2 to 3 miles in the suburban areas. Normally, the spacing should not exceed 1 mile in heavily developed areas. Characteristics of urban and rural minor arterials are provided in the table below.

**Table 2. Characteristics of Urban and Rural Minor Arterials**

Urban	Rural
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interconnect and augment the higher-level arterials</li> <li>• Serve trips of moderate length at a somewhat lower level of travel mobility than principal arterials</li> <li>• Distribute traffic to smaller geographic areas than those served by higher-level arterials</li> <li>• Provide more land access than principal arterials without penetrating identifiable neighborhoods</li> <li>• Provide urban connectors for rural collectors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Link cities and larger towns (and other major destinations such as resorts capable of attracting travel over long distances) and form an integrated network providing interstate and inter-county service</li> <li>• Be spaced at intervals, consistent with population density, so that all developed areas within the state are within a reasonable distance of an arterial roadway</li> <li>• Provide service to corridors with trip lengths and travel density greater than those served by rural collectors and local roads and with relatively high travel speeds and minimum interference to through movement</li> </ul>

Source: FHWA

## Major and minor collectors

Collectors gather traffic from local roads and funnel it to the arterial network. Collectors serve primarily intra-county travel and typical travel distances are shorter than on arterial routes. Collectors are broken down into two categories: *major collectors* and *minor collectors*. Generally, major collector routes are longer in length, have lower driveway densities, have higher speed limits, are spaced at greater intervals, have higher traffic volumes, and may have more travel lanes than their minor collector counterparts.

The minimum spacing between two collector roadways in suburban areas of Illinois is 1/2 or 1 mile typically. In a densely populated urban area, two collector roadways might be found at 1/4 mile spacing or less, but in most areas within the Chicago metropolitan region 1/4 mile is considered an absolute minimum and requires significant justification in terms of the traffic patterns and land uses served. An exception is the case of paired one-way roads serving traffic moving in the opposite direction of each other.

Projects on roadways with a minor collector functional classification and located outside of the adjusted urbanized area boundary are not eligible for federal aid funding. Characteristics of urban and rural major and minor collectors are provided in the tables below.

**Table 3. Characteristics of Urban and Rural Major Collectors**

Urban	Rural
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Serve both land access and traffic circulation in <i>higher</i> density residential, and commercial/industrial areas</li> <li>• Penetrate residential neighborhoods, often for <i>significant</i> distances</li> <li>• Distribute and channel trips between local roads and arterials, usually over a distance of <i>greater than 3/4</i> of a mile</li> <li>• Operating characteristics include higher speeds and more signalized intersections</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide service to any county seat not on an arterial route, to the larger towns not directly served by the higher systems and to other traffic generators of equivalent intra-county importance such as consolidated schools, shipping points, county parks and important mining and agricultural areas</li> <li>• Link these places with nearby larger towns and cities or with arterial routes</li> <li>• Serve the most important intra-county travel corridors</li> </ul>

Source: FHWA

**Table 4. Characteristics of Urban and Rural Minor Collectors**

Urban	Rural
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Serve both land access and traffic circulation in <i>lower</i> density residential and commercial/industrial areas</li> <li>• Penetrate residential neighborhoods, often only for a <i>short</i> distance</li> <li>• Distribute and channel trips between Local roads and arterials, usually over a distance of <i>less than 3/4</i> of a mile</li> <li>• Operating characteristics include lower speeds and fewer signalized intersections</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be spaced at intervals, consistent with population density, to collect traffic from local roads and bring all developed areas within reasonable distance of a collector</li> <li>• Provide service to smaller communities not served by a higher class facility</li> <li>• Link locally important traffic generators with their rural hinterlands</li> </ul>

Source: FHWA

## Local roads or streets

Local roads or streets are those not classified above. Local roads primarily provide access to property and connect with higher classified routes. Design speeds are low, stub sections are

common, and the main consideration is given to access needs. They offer the lowest level of mobility, have the shortest trip lengths, and through traffic is often deliberately discouraged. Local roads and streets are typically not eligible for federal aid funding, though some bicycle and pedestrian projects on local roads and streets may be eligible for federal aid funding. Characteristics of urban and rural local roads are provided in the table below.

**Table 5. Characteristics of urban and rural local roads**

Urban	Rural
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide direct access to adjacent land</li> <li>• Provide access to higher systems</li> <li>• Carry no through traffic movement</li> <li>• Constitute the mileage not classified as part of the arterial and collector systems</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Serve primarily to provide access to adjacent land</li> <li>• Provide service to travel over short distances as compared to higher classification categories</li> <li>• Constitute the mileage not classified as part of the arterial and collector systems</li> </ul>

Source: FHWA

## Related characteristics

Determining the functional class of a roadway is based on the previous descriptions but also requires some assessment in comparison to adjacent roadways. The table and text below are resources to complete the evaluation of the road in question in the context of nearby roadways.

**Table 6. Relationship between functional classification and travel characteristics**

Functional classification	Distance served/ length of route	Access points	Speed limit	Distance between routes	Usage (AADT and DVMT)	Significance	Number of travel lanes
<b>Arterial</b>	Longest	Few	Highest	Longest	Highest	Statewide	More
<b>Collector</b>	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
<b>Local</b>	Shortest	Many	Lowest	Shortest	Lowest	Local	Fewer

Source: FHWA

## Route spacing

Directly related to the concept of channelization of traffic throughout a network is the concept of distance (or spacing) between routes. Arterials are typically spaced at greater intervals than collectors, which are spaced at much larger intervals than locals. This spacing varies considerably for different areas; in densely populated urban areas, spacing of all route types is closer, and generally more consistent than the spacing in suburban or sparsely developed rural areas. Geographic barriers, such as bodies of water, greatly influence the layout, and spacing of

roadways. Spacing guidance is provided with the definitions for each classification. If a proposed functional classification revision is too close to a road of the same classification, it may be appropriate to request that the other road be downgraded.

## **Route usage (annual average daily traffic (AADT) volumes)**

While there is a general relationship between the functional classification of a roadway and its daily traffic volume, two roads that carry the same traffic volume may actually serve very different purposes and therefore have different functional classifications. Conversely, two roadways may have the same functional classification but carry very different traffic volumes.

Traffic volumes, however, can come into play when determining the proper functional classification of a roadway. Furthermore, AADT can often be used as a tiebreaker when trying to determine which of two (or more) similar and roughly parallel roadways should be classified with a higher (or lower) classification than the other. It may be helpful to examine near term (5-year) traffic projections if significant land use changes are anticipated in the next five years and to provide those projections to demonstrate the impact of the new development.

## **System continuity**

Because the roadway system is an interconnected network of facilities channeling traffic in both directions from arterials to collectors, then to locals and back again, the concept of continuity of routes is important to recognize. A basic tenet is a roadway of a higher classification should not terminate at a single roadway of a lower classification. Generally speaking, arterials should only terminate at other arterials. However, there are exceptions to this guideline. Arterials can terminate at very large regional traffic generators or can connect to multiple parallel roads of lower functional classification that, together, provide the same function and capacity as an arterial.

## **Process to revise a roadway functional classification**


A local government wishing to reclassify a roadway must provide the information requested in the *Functional Classification Revision Request Template* (Appendix A) to the appropriate [planning liaison](#). This process requires a resolution to demonstrate the subregional council of mayors' support for the roadway classification change. Once approved by the council of mayors, the planning liaison will submit the application to IDOT. These are the steps in the process:

1. Discuss the possible request with the planning liaison and/or IDOT prior to completing the request. This is recommended if the local government is unsure of which functional class its roadway should be. To determine the current functional class of the roadway, check IDOT's [Getting Around Illinois](#) map (Appendix B).



2. Submit the request to the council of mayors' planning liaison using the template in [Appendix A](#). See the submittal checklist in [Appendix C](#), FAQs in [Appendix D](#), and *Top 5 Reasons for Denial or Delay of Functional Classification Revision Requests* in [Appendix E](#).
3. Include an electronic document providing the relevant part of IDOT's current functional classification map with the desired change indicated in the correct color. This map is available online at IDOT's [Getting Around Illinois](#) ([Appendix B](#)). Also, provide a good quality location map that is to scale and shows how the proposal connects with the larger regional system.
4. Request a resolution from the affected subregional council of mayors approving the request for a change in classification. The resolution should include a thorough description of the roadway and adjacent land use characteristics that serve as justification for the change. Funding eligibility is **not** a valid justification. The council must vote in support of the reclassification based on the submitted justification. The requesting local government and adjacent or affected jurisdictions may also pass a resolution requesting the change or supporting the change, but this additional support is optional.
5. Coordinate with the planning liaison to ensure that a complete request is submitted to IDOT. The submitted request will go through various reviews before being approved or denied, as illustrated below.

**Figure 2. Approval agencies and processes**



Source: IDOT

# Appendix A

## Functional Classification Revision Request Template

1. Name(s) of proposed roadway to be reclassified:
  
2. Name of agency requesting revision (roadway jurisdiction):  
*(An agency should not request reclassification of a roadway that is not under its own jurisdiction without the support of the maintaining jurisdiction. For a township-maintained street within a municipality, the township must agree to the change prior to council of mayors' consideration.)*
  
3. Contact information (name, title, address, phone, and email):
  
4. Council(s) of mayors:
  
5. County(ies) containing roadway proposed to be reclassified:
  
6. Township(s) containing roadway proposed to be reclassified:
  
7. Additional roadway jurisdiction(s), if any, containing the roadway proposed to be reclassified:
  
8. Current functional classification for this roadway, as classified by IDOT:

9. Proposed functional classification for this roadway:  
*(Use “collector” for major or minor collectors; IDOT will determine the major/minor classification.)*
10. The IDOT route number for this roadway:  
*(This number is available online at IDOT’s [Getting Around Illinois](#). Click on the roadway segment to open a pop-up information box containing this number.)*

11. Endpoints of proposed roadway to be reclassified
- North or west endpoint:
  
  - North or west endpoint road’s functional classification:
  
  - South or east endpoint:
  
  - South or east endpoint road’s functional classification:

12. Length of proposed roadway to be reclassified:

13. Current average annual daily traffic (AADT):

*(Provide AADT by segment if the AADT is not consistent along the entire route. Indicate the source and year of the AADT. Some AADT values are available online at IDOT’s [Getting Around Illinois](#). If the AADT is not from a published source, supply raw field data and provide the date(s), the day(s) of week, the hours of collection, and the type of equipment used to collect the traffic data. HI-STAR or equivalent technology is preferred.)*

14. Spacing:
- Provide the name of and distance to the next adjacent roadway (to the north or east) with the same classification as the subject road’s proposed functional classification:

- Provide the name of and distance to the next adjacent roadway (to the south or west) with the same classification as the subject road's proposed functional classification:

15. Indicate whether the proposed revision also requires a change (downgrade) to the functional classification of any adjacent roadways to accommodate the spacing requirements for this proposed functional classification revision:

*(Provide route number and endpoints as well as road name and proposed change.)*

16. Access management:

- How does the municipality or other jurisdiction plan to manage access along the road? Examples would be an access management ordinance, subdivision ordinance, or planned development ordinance.
  
- How many driveways now exist along the right-of-way?
  
- Are left-turns controlled by raised or barrier-protected medians?

17. Provide current and planned traffic signalization along proposed route:

*(Mark locations on the map with a rectangle with three circles inside it, or similar; use the same symbol and write "future" by planned signals.)*

18. Provide current and planned stop sign control on proposed route and on the cross-streets:

*(Mark locations on the map with an octagon or similar; use the same symbols and write "future" by planned signs.)*

19. Major traffic generators along the proposed reclassified route:

20. Justification for the proposed revision based on definitions, characteristics and spacing guidance provided:

*("To establish federal funding eligibility" is NOT a justification.)*

21. Provide any additional (optional) information or justification:

22. Attach support resolutions and letters:

1. Local council(s) of mayors resolution(s) of support (required)
2. Affected neighboring jurisdictions' letters of support (required)
3. Requesting municipality's resolution of request (optional)

# Appendix B

## Using IDOT web based maps for needed information

### Determining current functional classification of roads in the request

To ascertain the existing functional classification of roadways, see IDOT's [Getting Around Illinois](#).


#### Getting Around Illinois website



#### Current Conditions Maps


Scroll down to the “Other Maps” section of the webpage and select the “Roadway Functional Class” map.

## Roadway Functional Class Map tab



Zoom in to an area to check the functional classification of a roadway. The search box in the upper right corner is also available for searching by municipality, township, county, or zip code to get to the specified area.

## Zoom and Search Map example




The IDOT map is updated nightly to reflect any changes in roadway functional classification.

## Determining the route number for the road in question

When you locate the roadway that you'd like to identify, select the segment and a pop up box will appear with information on the functional class, route number, and termini.

### Detailed View Map example



Eligibility for federal funding is based on a route's functional classification. The seven functional classifications are defined on pages 2, 3 and 4 of this document and summarized again here:

- 1 = Interstate
- 2 = Other Freeways and Expressways
- 3 = Other Principal Arterial
- 4 = Minor Arterial
- 5 = Major Collector
- 6 = Minor Collector (not eligible if located outside adjusted urban area boundary)
- 7 = Local (not eligible)

### Making a color map for the request

The request should include a copy of the IDOT Roadway Functional Class map for the subject area with the proposed revision indicated with the appropriate color. Once the correct portion of the map for the proposed change is found, zoom in or out to capture the proposed route. Currently there is no direct way to edit the IDOT map and it is recommended to take a screen shot of the appropriate portion of the map and save it in a format that can be edited with available software.

Mark the proposed functional class revision with the color assigned (see below) for the desired functional classification. If the requested functional class designation is for a road that is yet to be built or terminates at a road that has not been constructed or classified as yet, use a dashed line in the appropriate color for these future roads. Local roads are not colored on the IDOT Roadway Functional Class map and are not eligible for federal funding. If the request includes the downgrading of a nearby collector road to a local road, crosshatch the route on the map.



### Color scheme for functional classification

	<b>Blue</b>	<b>Interstate</b>
	<b>Brown</b>	<b>Freeway or Expressway</b>
	<b>Red</b>	<b>Other Principal Arterial</b>
	<b>Green</b>	<b>Minor Arterial</b>
	<b>Pink</b>	<b>Major Collector</b>
	<b>Yellow</b>	<b>Minor Collector</b>
	<b>No color</b>	<b>Local Roads</b>

Identify any traffic signals and stop signs along the subject route as well. The preferred symbols are a rectangle with three circles inside and an octagon, respectively. Mark planned traffic control devices with the word “future.”

# Appendix C

## Functional Classification Revision Request Submittal Checklist

### Required:

- Completed CMAP Functional Classification Revision Request with clear justification
- Marked up IDOT Roadway Functional Class Map or new map showing existing and proposed classification
- Traffic count(s)
- Resolution of support from the council(s) of mayors
- Letters or resolutions of support from affected municipalities/townships
- Location map (to scale) showing how the proposal connects with the larger regional system

### Optional:

- Traffic projection(s) (5-year)
- Development approvals/comprehensive plan maps
- Jurisdictional transfer agreements/annexation agreements
- Resolution of support from sponsoring municipality

# Appendix D

## Frequently asked questions (FAQs)

### 1. Who do I submit an application to?

Municipalities first submit their requests to their local [council of mayors' planning liaison](#).

Once approved by the council of mayors, the planning liaison submits the application to IDOT. The IDOT contact is [Katie Herdus](#), Bureau of Programming, District One.

### 2. Where can I find the most up to date functional classification map?

Visit: <https://www.gettingaroundillinois.com/MapView/?config=RFCconfig.json>

- Open the Map Viewer on the “Roadway Functional Class” tab
- In the search box (upper right) type in your municipality, township, or zip code
- Select a roadway by clicking on the segment to view functional classification

### 3. How can I produce the map for my functional classification change submittal without GIS software?

- Zoom in or out of the IDOT FC map to capture your proposed route.
- Make a screen shot of the appropriate portion of the map and edit it in appropriate software.
- Mark the proposed FC change with the color assigned for your desired functional classification by using editing tools. See the functional classification guidebook for the appropriate coloring scheme.

### 4. What is my street's FAU, FAS, FAP, etc. number?

FAU, FAS, FAP numbers are no longer used to depict route information, including functional classification or funding eligibility. They also no longer appear on IDOT maps.

### 5. Where can I locate the FHWA functional classification manual and CMAP functional classification workbook?

- **FHWA functional classification manual:** <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/processes/statewide/related/hwy-functional-classification-2023.pdf>
- **CMAP functional classification workbook:** <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/roadway-functional-classification>

## 6. Who can I contact to ask questions about functional classification changes?

- [Planning liaisons](#)
- IDOT – Katie Herdus, [Katherine.Herdus@illinois.gov](mailto:Katherine.Herdus@illinois.gov), 847-705-4080
- FHWA – Illinois division, [Illinois.fhwa@dot.gov](mailto:Illinois.fhwa@dot.gov)
- CMAP – Teri Dixon, [tdixon@cmapp.illinois.gov](mailto:tdixon@cmapp.illinois.gov)

# Appendix E

## Top 5 Reasons for Denial or Delay of Revision Requests

### 1. Using funding as justification for upgrading the functional classification (FC) of a road

Do not provide references to funding as the reason for the submittal anywhere, including:

- In the application template
- In the letters of support
- In the resolutions

Funding eligibility as justification will result in a denial or a request for new documentation. An example of an unacceptable justification: "We would like to upgrade the road's classification so we can use federal funds for pavement improvements."

### 2. Not providing letters of support from adjacent municipalities and townships

Many functional classification change requests take place on the border between different jurisdictions, such as municipalities and townships.

- Letters of support are required from all affected jurisdictions
- When jurisdiction is in doubt, provide a letter of support / concurrence from the adjacent community or township (on their letterhead)

### 3. Inadequate spacing

Adjacent streets are being requested for functional classification upgrades from local to collector without adequate spacing within the Chicago metropolitan area.

Information regarding appropriate spacing by functional class is available on page 5 above.

Some exceptions to the spacing minimums in the workbook:

- One-way pairs of roads that operate jointly (i.e., "one-way couples")
- Frontage roads and roads coming from highway ramps
- Commercial areas that have large traffic generators

The spacing in each submittal is reviewed on a case by case basis. The specific roadway geometrics may allow one road's classification change to be approved with limited spacing and other requests that appear to be similar may be rejected.

#### **4. Not connecting from a federally eligible route to another federally eligible route**

In general, when upgrading a local road to a collector, it should connect from one federally eligible classification to another. Federally eligible classifications are interstates,

major arterials, minor arterials, major collectors, and minor collectors in the urban area.

- No dead-end streets
- No endpoints for the functional classification change just because it is the municipal boundary
- Generally, a roadway of higher classification should not terminate at a single roadway of a lower classification
- Avoid loop roads (roads that start and end on the same route) when possible
- Short loops never qualify
- Major loop boulevards through large subdivisions may qualify under rare circumstances

#### **5. Not providing sufficient justification in the application and letters of support**

- The letters of support and completed application template are your opportunities to explain how the road functions differently than the surrounding streets
- Review the definitions and other guidance and explain how the criteria apply to your roadway
- An example of poor justification on a data sheet: "Roadway is justified based on Collector Criteria"
- Consider how the route will be functioning in the next five years. Provide information on new development of major traffic generators impacting the road, such as:
  - Schools
  - Shopping centers
  - Office complexes
  - Large places of worship / community centers
  - Large parks
  - Large apartment complexes or subdivisions
- Identify the other factors impacting the road, such as:
  - At-grade railroad crossings or grade separated crossings
  - Bridges, streams, and rivers
  - Bus routes
  - Traffic signals

The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) is the region's comprehensive planning organization. The agency and its partners developed and are now implementing ON TO 2050, a long-range plan to help the seven counties and 284 communities of northeastern Illinois implement strategies that address transportation, housing, economic development, open space, the environment, and other quality-of-life issues.

See [cmap.illinois.gov](http://cmap.illinois.gov) for more information.

433 West Van Buren Street  
Suite 450  
Chicago, IL 60607

---

[cmap.illinois.gov](http://cmap.illinois.gov)  
312-454-0400

