6.6 MetroQuest Invent 2040 Online Results

Transit-oriented compact development in communities.

This scenario is 322344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 46360

This scenario greatly decreases the severity of nearly all the outcomes, producing less pollution for the environment and making life less stressful for Chicagoans. It does so, however, with a cost to the government (but I care less about that; they screwed us over in the first place by not taking enough care of our environment or our people).

This scenario is 422344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase

Transit

System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60625

Yes. Chicago will be saved this is a really good scenario.

This scenario is 211122, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

I'm building my corn tolerance.

This scenario is 421344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: undefined

This seems like a good outcome.

This scenario is 432342, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

I think that one of the main things we need to focus on is modifying our transportation system. We should learn to rely more on public/alternative transportation rather than single-occupant vehicles because that will not only save everyone money for gas, but it will also save the environment and the transportation time. However, in order for us to make this huge change, we also need the government to provide better transportation, even internally within the larger suburbs like Naperville and Aurora. Many of us don't have a choice other than to drive across our own suburb just because we do not have an active bus service, train service, subway service, or taxi service to get us around.

This scenario is 321344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60565

I think this is good scenario for the future of Chicago. This is related to less consumption of water, energy, household costs and even land consumption.

This scenario is 423324, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

We need to look at what will be best for us, and looking into the future is hard for some to do but it is very important and always should be considered in decision making.

This scenario is 231334, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth -

Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60605

This is an amazing scenario. It will help all the younger generations become more of a family something that today we seem to be losing.

This scenario is 321133, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: undefined

Three cheers for not getting the Olympics! Now we can go ahead with programs to help the people. Public transit and stopping gentrification are keys to going forward.

This scenario is 432343, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact -Development Location: Metropolitan centers -

Road Network: Moderate increase - Transit System: Significant increase -

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives -

Resource Policy: Expand programs

First and foremost we should be concerned with the protection of our natural resources - especially soil and water. This area's boom growth has resulted in increased flooding in many area's and unfortunately flood plain development has been especially popular (i.e. "waterfront property"). Water quality has also been negatively impacted. An increased road network will add to the flooding issues we now experience and air pollution also becomes a factor to consider. It was recently reported that we are ranked at or near the top of states with poor air quality. With all of the intermodal activity in Will County, where incidentally we have some of the worlds best farmland, goto 2040 has some work to do if natural resources are to be an important factor. Unfortunately, money talks.... And for all of the positive comments made with regard to the environment and natural resources, we are all keenly aware that our comments are largely ignored when decisions are made by our elected officials.

This scenario is 322334, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60451

I would love it if we can make - not just our city - but our entire nation greener and cleaner. It sucks to have to go into the downtown areas where there's more business and find trash and crap on the ground when there's a trash can nearby. If people knew how to dispose of things properly, people wouldn't be getting sick often and we can possibly live longer due to less air pollution that's been going on.

This scenario is 211122, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

Merely expanding transit services by extension of lines and routes is not enough. The quality of service also must be improved, be it fixed line light or heavy rail, bus rapid transit, Paratransit, or traditional bus transit. Improved quality such as well designed stations, transit oriented development, passenger amenities including connectivity on Metra cars, will attract new riders. The rate of deployment of Traveler Information Systems needs to be shortened to reduce the probability that the deployed system will be technologically outdated by the time it is deployed. The Chicago Card is a good first step toward uniform fare collection system.

This scenario is 322334, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

I think it's imperative to develop and enhance public transportation alternatives and environmentally sound "green" technologies and construction. In order to preserve green space, open areas, and agricultural land, we will need to accept some changes is the density of population if growth proceeds as predicted, but we can still maintain a high quality of living with good planning. Storm water management, having a mix of affordable but well built, energy efficient, and attractive housing for all income levels, and a range of public and commercial businesses, services, arts, education, and entertainment within the reach of all is important.

This scenario is 322344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60185

I AM A URBAN PLANNING STUDENT IN A MASTER'S PROGRAM AT THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE AND I VERY MUCH ENJOYED THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH YOUR SITE. BEST OF LUCK TO YOU ON THE 2040 PLAN!!!!!

This scenario is 421344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 40031

Optional comments: The only way to maintain our economic position in the world is to invest in our own nation, our own manufacturing and energy. Urban planning must focus on mass transit, and scaling down the suburban/exburban lifestyle as this is an unsustainable lifestyle which has degraded not only our economy, but our sense of community, our health (ie obese SUV drivers) and environment.

This scenario is 432342, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

I'm especially excited about the proposed "Gold Line" that would run along the lakeshore Metra tracks into the South Side.

This scenario is 431344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60637

Rather vague, and not enough, but a good start.

This scenario is 421344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60134

Awesome site!

This scenario is 431334, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 27516

Of course 5 stars; I created it. I know this is not as straight forward as it seems but we definitely need to have more of a alternative transportation focus in mind to reduce the congestion on our streets and to reduce the possibility of more roads, by-bass free-ways, etc.

This scenario is 321344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

Also makes sense. How do you keep the DOT in check so they don't put up 3 lane highways due to capacity and safety concerns? This kills community image.

This scenario is 321133, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: undefined

I am realistic that everyone will not want to live in high density homes, but there should be more push to conserve lands and reuse land where possible.

This scenario is 432342, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

I was surprised to see that expanding the road network, such as building the Route 53 extension actually increases commute time. I am not a regular user of transit but might, as would others if it were more readily available in our region. Cars and roads have been subsidized for long enough. Time to get moving on transit and better land use polices.

This scenario is 321344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60047

We need to protect our groundwater, our farmland, provide more public transportation, create livable communities with sidewalks and more dense development.

This scenario is 432233, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

We need a change from what is occurring. More roads and more sprawl is not the future that our area should target.

This scenario is 211122, was rated 1/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

i want to make a remark about development density. I support moderate compact and low density. There has to be some combination of the two. As our community develops and consumes land to build, strong planning needs to happen that really makes sense - and benefits communities within communities. Human care infrastructure really matters.

This scenario is 321343, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: 60617

As it stands now our public transportation system, as compared to other global cities, is substandard. We need underground/metro from our train stations. Passenger trains have become low priority for the railways, as they are delayed for the freight trains. Metra trains are no longer on time.

This scenario is 322344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60148

The impact of adjusting transportation policy and Resource Policy shows significant impact on the cost of Single Occupant Vehicles, Energy Use and Water Use. However, the corresponding impact of Government spending so not seem to correlate. Without understanding the numbers behind the graphics, the "model" can't be calibrated / validated.

This scenario is 323223, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Expand programs

This is the solution to our issues. Public transit and several communities based around transit oriented development. More funding for Metra and the El too.

This scenario is 421344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options: Development Density: Highly

compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: undefined

Excellent interactive site!

This scenario is 322343, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: 60093

We have to do as much as we possibly can to preserve and protect what green space we have left in this area and keep it healthy. We should all be making energy changes. There has to be a better way to get from suburb to suburb. We can get from the suburb to the city by train but I live in Roselle and work in Libertyville. There is no way except driving (way too much) to get back and forth to work.

This scenario is 433344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

There is a significant body of evidence that shows that growth is not a sound financial foundation for balanced tax disbursement. Continued growth actually causes a demand for more taxation of more demand of funds from other tax sources. Therefore more growth means higher taxes. Yet many municipal governments encourage growth as a short term alleviation of what will forever be long term budget shortfalls. Curtailing growth is a means of controlling constant demands for tax funded services that are only required under a status quo growth scenario.

This scenario is 431344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance

Transit System: Significant increase Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60616

In my opinion, the choices presented in your scenarios reflect second level decisions that arise from primary planning choices that are not listed or discussed. I'd like to see primary choice information involving research, analysis and decision making about items like; population growth, private vs public interests concerning development, job resource development, health and education resource development, review of current and possible planning laws, and environmental diversity. How did we get to where we are and what avenues are available to us through our planning choices about the future. I'd like to see a history link describing how the Chicago metro region came to be what it is and what forces shaped it, many of which are probably still at work.

This scenario is 221343, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: 60202

Any planning study must consider the effects of population increase on key "quality of life" factors. How many people do we want living in the CMAP? Where will future people work and at what kind of jobs? What wealth attractors does our region have that we can improve or create? Where will future people live? How can development improve any communities quality of life and not just turn a 20%+ profit for a development's owners? Cars must be brought under some sort of control, or have their numbers reduced. Our quality of life will go down if the number of cars keeps going up. This scenario is 322343, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

Your analysis of what significant road expansion and increased capacity will do for commute times is wrong. If we invested in even slightly better roads and intersections in our collar communities, commute times would drop significantly. All it takes is a fraction of what is being spent in Iraq and A-stan and spend that on roads with some going to rail, not bus transit and our standards of living will increase dramatically.

This scenario is 211122, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

This is by far the best and more realistic of the three scenarios presented. However, besides government subsidies, people won't spend too much to go green, because green building is expensive up front and people can't afford the upfront costs.

This scenario is 212224, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: undefined

This idea sounds great, but doesn't work. It isn't practical because density cannot be forced. People want to live in a low density home in a high density neighborhood, but that means that everyone's home is of low density.

This scenario is 432342, was rated 1/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

People want high density development, but nobody wants to live in it. People want other people to live in high density neighborhoods while they themselves live in a low density property. Because most people want their own property to be of low density, the market demand will be for low density vs. high density as long as it is affordable.

This scenario is 321133, was rated 2/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: undefined

It is important to keep government costs down while balancing quality of life for this area. People still want their own homes, but need open spaces to have a good quality of life.

This scenario is 322233, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: 60123

Focus on minimizing effects of global warming by minimizing pollution. Nothing else comes close in significance as a policy issue. We're basically screwing up the future of life on Earth by polluting so much.

This scenario is 421344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60187

I like the scenario our group came up with. I think it values individual choice while also recognizing that there are some big policy decisions that need to be made in order to protect land and other resources. The choices we made will help 2040 Chicagoland thrive in a sustainable way. Our choices suggest the desire and readiness among Chicagoans for something of a cultural shift. That said, creating this scenario is a lot easier said than done due to economic factors and political will. I hope CMAP will continue to find creative and informative ways of engaging the public on these very important matters. Remember that each of your participants has family, friends, neighbors, and policy makers s/he can reach out to if you provide a little bit of help and guidance. Thanks for doing all this!

This scenario is 321344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options: Development Density: Moderately compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

Highly compact development must integrate nature in radically new ways to keep the rural feel in a dense urban environment.

This scenario is 421244, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60098

The problem with any scenario produced by this game is that it assumes 2.8 million more people here by 2040, and none of the choices change that. Voting for highly compact density was hardest for me, because it hasn't been done well in older cities where I've tried it. For it to be successful, it has to be done with buildings made with good soundproofing, and complimented with Forest Preserves and community agriculture opportunities within a short walk or bike ride.

This scenario is 431344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60061

Development should be concentrated in the city centers, where we already have robust public transportation. However, we need to encourage the development of more local retail centers so as to reduce traveling for necessities, and improve the frequency of public transportation so as to make the CTA as convenient as driving.

This scenario is 331343, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options: Development Density: Moderately compact

Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

I want to see compact residential subdivisions utilizing practical transportation arteries (road & rail) and many, many more buses with hub & spoke routes centering on rail stations.

This scenario is 421344, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60010

it's the only sustainable option.

This scenario is 431344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 55403

Keep things the way they are. Let every Village make its own decisions.

This scenario is 113322, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Low density growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: 60554

This scenario reduces land consumption, detached homes, single occupant vehicles, commute time, energy use, water use, and household costs while STILL reducing government costs from today's levels.

This scenario is 431344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

Owning a single family home needs to be less important, no longer be a part of the American Dream. A new American Dream needs to be created that would be more in line with being a good neighbor, respecting nature, and being a minimal consumer.

This scenario is 421342, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: 60515

I believe that it is very important to build on existing neighborhoods (both urban and suburban) and with introduction of more compact development and strong public transit infrastructure they will be able to become more pedestrian and environmentally friendly, enforcing stronger sense of community among residents.

This scenario is 321344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60173

I would think that government policy needs to be changed to encourage brown field development and more energy efficient transportation. By 2040 we should have alternatives that are supported that offer the freedom of direct travel while not consuming mass energy. Trains and buses are only efficient when they are full and do not offer direct routes. Plus, I don't see how they work for suburban travel to and from work from one town here to another there. The future needs new solutions — I wouldn't want a future from now that just has cars, buses and trains. Bikes are nice, but I don't see many people biking when snow is in the air. Conserving energy and being sustainable will be important in a world that is going to continue growing and striving to have access to the same resources. Finally, I think the benefit from natural areas are critical. 2040 without a good system of green areas is a future not for me. What models can we consider - how does Europe or Japan look at development? America has always had plenty of land and energy, but this will not be sustainable in the future. The dollar is always trump, but with properly orchestrated legislature, the right things can make the right choices pay off. PS I attended the event at Gail Borden, but did not get an E-mail after the event (David Poweleit - please add me to your mailing list poweleit@aticorp.org). Thanks!

This scenario is 321233, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

We need to save prime farmland, not build houses and shopping centers atop it! This scenario is 212224, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: undefined

We can do better!

This scenario is 211122, was rated 1/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

Encourage support of local businesses and farmers' markets. Work to make walking and bicycling to school, work, and for recreation safe and pleasurable. Ban development on floodplains. Protect wetlands and natural areas. Encourage the use of rain gardens, rain barrels, more native plantings, vegetable gardens, fruit orchards, rooftop gardens, and less turf grass. Implement water and energy conservation strategies.

This scenario is 322344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60626

I believe a balanced approach of attending to the needs of vehicular traffic plus significant expansion of alternative transportation ways (rail, bus, etc) along with smart planning that reduces sprawl will help our region deal with dwindling resources and population growth by 2040.

This scenario is 332333, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

High Density spurs the creation of local business which creates walkable communities. New York City is an example of high density benefits, active pedestrian neighborhoods, walking contributes to health of citizens, low crime, centralized shopping and entertainment, and interconnectivity. Green space can be conserved and maximized for public use or for natural habitat to encourage biodiversity. High density would consolidate the supply chain and distribution of goods to decrease travel. For an aging population, the trend is typically to consolidate ones lifestyle. The natural tend would be towards a high density community.

This scenario is 431344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60120

need arterial bus rapid transit, more Pace service

This scenario is 433344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60090

Some compromise is necessary with driving and transit; but no change will occur without changing the individual's cost of driving. This requires a political will to raise fuel and other user taxes to cover the full cost of highway use and support alternatives. Changing the driving cost will change the character of demand for development. Community and metro development focus reinforces feeder bus services to Metra and future Pace circumferential regional routes. These regional routes can crossconnect community and commercial centers and major health care facilities. Cross-connecting routes would more effectively serve latent regional travel demand. While tollways, I-80, I-294 and I-355, should be exploited for trans-regional routes between community and commercial centers, building stations on these roads most likely would dilute service to and focus on the community centers and Metra and local Pace services.

This scenario is 322344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

Thanks for the opportunity. The right hand blue bars are a little confusing, as I wasn't sure whether to read the bar or the triangle to calculate effect change. When I figured out how to get more info on the green categories, that answered more questions. Keep up the great work!

This scenario is 222344, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60628

I like that my favorite scenario is actually much cheaper than this one (my least favorite) both in terms of government and healthcare costs.

This scenario is 113111, was rated 1/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Low density growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Favor driving Resource Policy: Reduce programs

The zip code is: 60607

NO more rebuild and widen... Fund some upgraded and/or new train lines and you will reach your development and traffic goals much faster.

This scenario is 431343, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

I like the idea of a recycling Chicago. But there must be accessible to everyone with possible education on recycling for people unfamiliar with the concept. I was told of extending the Red Line to 130th, that may be good. It would eliminate so congestion at 95th. Eliminate the Pink Line and get back the original Blue Line, put more trash cans at train stops with recycling trash cans at every stop. There can be more people or tickets booth for CTA passes. Offer more driving plans online through the city of Chicago's website with construction delays, etc. Marking the neighborhood territories can be helpful. More grocery stores and less convenient stores.

This scenario is 221234, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60411

Our current trend comprises a mix of the innovate, reinvest and preserve. I think the region needs a mix of development patterns and not just one of the scenarios.

This scenario is 211122, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

Premium land reduces all other costs by giving greater yields for the investment. This scenario is 431344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60606

Sustainability must be our goal moving forward.

This scenario is 431334, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact
Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: Undefined

This scenario favors low land consumption and promotes public transportation. It helps to save the local environment and promotes lower resource consumption. Although it cost the government a little more, It helps to make a beautiful city an even greater place to live.

This scenario is 422344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60616

Environment- More green in the form of naturalized landscapes and less high maintenance turf More bicycle friendly roads, not just recreation trails, but contiguous bikeways along main roads to encourage commuting by bike.

This scenario is 321343, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: 60503

This plan has extremely low impact on the environment. None of the plans are better than this one.

This scenario is 431334, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: undefined

Out of all the choices, this seems the best for our planet. Could be better.

This scenario is 321133, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: undefined

I would like to see a way to emphasize the need for open or green space by increasing parks, forest preserves property & urban recreational opportunities which will be vital for urban residents.

This scenario is 321344, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60707

We need to maximize energy and water savings and increase/improve public transportation. The moderately compact option provides alternative living situations without putting everyone in high rise buildings. More accessible green space and preserved green space is important for recreation as well as local farming that produces organic and sustainable food for the region.

This scenario is 331344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance

Transit System: Significant increase Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60614

Very nice interface, however does seem to downplay the potential costs both in terms of money, time and interruption of existing traffic/workflow patterns during development (Government Costs are really Neutral?), and therefore seems to really skew any input into this directions (albeit not a bad thing, since I agree with the end goal).

This scenario is 421324, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

This is what the future will have to be in order to be able to accommodate growth and costs, regardless of "Government" costs. We already have too many competing jurisdictions who can't think beyond their borders. We need regional solutions or we are going to go under with the weigh of our inefficiency.

This scenario is 432344, was rated 0/5, and includes the following options: Development Density: Highly

compact

Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60014

How can we plan and base a scenario on technology and fuels that "may or may not come to pass." I do not believe this can be labeled as planning.

This scenario is 212224, was rated 1/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth

Development Location: Unfocused Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Moderate increase

Transportation Policy: Maintain current mix

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: undefined

An improved and more reliable transit system is absolutely vital for the continued health and growth of the Chicago metropolitan area.

This scenario is 331343, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: undefined

This scenario favors low land consumption and promotes public transportation. It helps to save the local environment and promotes lower resource consumption. Although it cost the government a little more, it helps to make a beautiful city an even greater place to live.

This scenario is 422344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact

Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

We absolutely have to focus on redeveloping brownfields in the city center and especially in the inner ring suburbs. There, transportation and other infrastructure already exists and needs to be fully utilized, maintained and upgraded. Even then, costs will have to be less than new development, new infrastructure and transportation costs from long commutes in private cars. We have to stop consuming so much fuel! We also have to preserve existing tree cover and reduce paving.

The economic, social and environmental costs are crippling if we don't.

This scenario is 333344, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60108

okay

This scenario is 321133, was rated 3/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Minimum maintenance Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Expand programs

The zip code is: undefined

good

This scenario is 432344, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Highly compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers

Road Network: Moderate increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Strongly favor alternatives

Resource Policy: Maximize programs

The zip code is: 60302

We need more bike paths and/or on-street safe bike routes that connect to each other. This scenario is 223332, was rated 5/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Current patterns of growth Development Location: Community and metros

Road Network: Significant increase Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

Improve mass transit systems. Encourage metropolitan centers.

This scenario is 331332, was rated 4/5, and includes the following options:

Development Density: Moderately compact Development Location: Metropolitan centers Road Network: Minimum maintenance Transit System: Significant increase

Transportation Policy: Support alternatives

Resource Policy: Maintain programs

The zip code is: undefined

6.7 Fairs and Festival "GO TO 2040 On the Road"

MAY		
Event	Date	Location
Greenfest	May 16-17	Navy Pier
JUNE		
Event	Date	Location
Matteson Festival	June 12-14	Memorial Park
Villa Park SummerFest 2009	June 20th	Ardmore Business District
Downers Grove Heritage Festival	June 26th	Downtown Downers Grove
JULY/AUG		
Event	Date 💌	Location
Kane County Fair	July 15-19	Kane County Fairgrounds
Streamwood Celebration	July 24-26	Hoosier Grove Park
Kendall County Fair	July 30-Aug. 2	Kendall County Fairgrounds
DuPage County Fair	July 22-26	DuPage County Fairgrounds
Lake County Fair	July 28-Aug. 2	Lake County Fairgrounds
AUGUST		
Event	Date 💌	Location
Joliet JackHammers	Aug. 1	Silver Cross Field
Community Days North Chicago	Aug. 1	North Chicago
Joliet JackHammers	Aug. 10	Silver Cross Field
McHenry County Fair	Aug. 5-9	McHenryCounty Fairgrounds
Will County Fair	Aug 26-30	Will County Fairgrounds
SEPTEMBER		
Event	Date	Location
Logan Square Farmers Market	Sept 6	Logan/Diversey, Chicago
Aurora Hispanic Heritage Advisory		
Board: Fiesta de Luces	Sept. 19	Aurora
Prairie Fest	September 26	Unitarian Universal Church, Elgin